



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,468	10/01/2003	Edwin Gonzalez	10028	7535
54569 <sup>1</sup> 7590 07/26/2007 RUBEN ALCOPA, ESQ. 3399 NW 72 AVENUE SUITE211 MIAMI, FL 33122				
			EXAMINER SHAIKH, MOHAMMAD Z	
			ART UNIT 3609	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/676,468

Applicant(s)

GONZALEZ, EDWIN

Examiner

Mohammad Z. Shaikh

Art Unit

3609

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/01/2003.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/676468  
Art Unit: 3609

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Objections*

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length of the Abstract exceeds one hundred fifty words. A correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### *Claim Rejections- 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C 102(b) which forms the basis for a prior art rejection set forth in the Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Deblasio. Deblasio discloses a cellular phone (see page 2, paragraphs 6 and 11). He also teaches a server that communicates with the cellular phone (see page 2, paragraph 9). He also teaches a database (see page 2, paragraph 10). He also teaches a processor (see page 2, paragraphs 10 & 11). Lastly he teaches a financial institution (see page 2 paragraph 11).

### *Claim Rejections- 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3609

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being unpatentable over DeBlasio in view of Sarmiento. As per claim 2, DeBlasio does not teach retrieving account balances (see page 3, lines 2-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of DeBlasio to include processing an account balance. As per claim 3, DeBlasio does not teach transfer of funds from one account to another. Sarmiento teaches how to transfer funds from one account to another. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of DeBlasio to include transferring of money from one account to another.

Art Unit: 3609

### **Conclusion**

\*Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Z. Shaikh whose telephone number is (571)270-03444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5); alt Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dixon can be reached on 571-272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*\*

Mohammad Z Shaikh  
Examiner  
Art Unit 3694

  
THOMAS A. DIXON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER